

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/579,395	12/27/9	5 SWAIN	W	

B2M1/0221

WILLIAM H SWAIN 4662 GLEASON AVE SARASOTA FL 34242

EXAMINER					
KOBERT, R					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
2213					
DATE MAILED:	02/21/97				

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

**Commissioner of Patents** 

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/579,395

Office Action Summary

William H. Swain Group Art Unit

Examiner

Russell M. Kobert

2213



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	· ·
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Application Papers  X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀pproved 🖂disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	mber)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	Jo(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/579,395 -2-

Art Unit: 2213

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-7, 10-11, and 12 drawn to methods for improving accuracy in an implement or correcting an error or constructing and using a sensor,

classified in class 324, subclass 117H.

II. Claims 8-9 and 13, drawn to sensing or measuring apparatus, classified in

class 324, subclass 117H.

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP

§ 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and

materially different process as evidenced by the plurality of methods as claimed.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search

required for Invention I is not required for Invention II, restriction for examination purposes

as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2213

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

-3-

- 5. If Invention I is elected, further restriction to the following species is required:
  - (1) The species to which claims 1-7 are drawn.
  - (2) The species to which claims 10 and 11 are drawn.
  - (3) The species to which claim 12 is drawn.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

-4-

Art Unit: 2213

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are

added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected

species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

of the other invention.

6. If Invention II is elected, further restriction to the following species is required:

(1) The species to which claims 8 and 9 are drawn.

(2) The species to which claim 13 is drawn.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Art Unit: 2213

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an

-5-

identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing

of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument

that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are

added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected

species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Mr. William H. Swain on February 14, 1997 to

request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an

election being made.

-6-

Art Unit: 2213

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire no 8.

month(s), 30 days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for

response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (703) 308-

5222.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Russell M. Kobert Patent Examiner

Group 2200

February 14, 1997

VINH P. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP 2200**